

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,655	08/07/2001	Diep Nguyen	1688A1	1131
7:	590 04/22/2003			
PPG INDUSTRIES, INC.			EXAMINER	
One PPG Place	perty Department		NUTTER, NATHAN M	
Pittsburgh, PA 15272			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				TAILER NOMBER
			1711 DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- GC			
	09/923,655	NGUYEN ET AL.	•			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-85 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>38-85</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alaction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·		application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.3	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO				

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-37, drawn to a composition, classified in class 525, subclasses199, 200 and 217.

- II. Claims 38-52, 54-68 and 70-84, drawn to a method, classified in class 427, subclass 421.
- III. Claims 53, 69 and 85, drawn to an article, classified in class 428, subclass421.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition can be molded.

Inventions of Group II and of Group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by lamination.

Art Unit: 1711

Inventions of Group I and of Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different function and different effects. The several Groups, as listed, fail to claim the same or corresponding special technical features. The inventions are not disclosed as being capable of use together and possess different characteristics which have different functions and effects, as well as have different modes of operation. The groupings of claims which would be acceptable to provide a Unity of Invention are those as set out in 37 CFR 1.475(b). Note MPEP 1875.01 in this regard.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Gary F. Matz on 11 April 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-37.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claims 38-85 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37

CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,655 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The instant invention is drawn to coating composition comprising a fluorocarbon polymer, a solvent and a (meth)acrylate polymer comprising one or more (meth)acrylate monomers and one or more aminoalkyl(meth)acrylate monomers of the structure as shown in claim 1.

Claims 1-4, 7-9, 12-17, 19-22, 25, 26 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Luckock et al, newly cited.

Note the Abstract, column 1 (line 40) to column 3 (line 5) and the Examples at columns 3 and 4 which disclose essentially what is required by the instant claims.

Claims 1-4, 7, 9, 10, 12-14, 17-22, 25, 28-30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Vassiliou, cited by applicants.

Note the Abstract, column 1 (lines 52-68), column 3 (line 2) to column 4 (line 46), Example 1 (column 5), Example V (column 6) and Example IX (column 7).

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luckock et al or Vassiliou, cited and for the reasons as set out above.

The references to Luckock et al and Vassiliou teach essentially what is called for in the instant claims except that the specific weight average molecular weight of the (meth)acrylate copolymer, and the specific monomeric constituents, as recited and claimed. These parameters would be manipulable by one having an ordinary skill in the art, to maximize the benefits obtained, thereby. As such, the instant claims would have been obvious to an artisan at the time the invention was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 703-308-2443. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.



Application/Control Number: 09/923,655

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn April 20, 2003